IJEMD-SS, 5 (3) (2026) https://doi.org/10.54938/ijemdss.2026.05.3.722

International Journal of Emerging Multidisciplinaries: Social Science

Research Paper
Journal Homepage: *www.ojs.ijemd.com*
ISSN (Online): *2958-0277*

The Fisher Effect and Its Implications in Emerging and Transitional Economies: Empirical Evidence and Theoretical Insights from Pakistan

Dr. Rana Shahid Imdad Akash[1], Engr. Prof. Dr. Aftab Ahmad[2], Dr. Majid Imdad Khan[3]

[1] Assistant Professor, School of Business Management, NFC Institute of Engineering and Fertilizer Research (NFC-IEFR), Faisalabad, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: shahid.imdad@yahoo.com

[2] Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Swedish College of Engineering and Technology, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan

[3] Deptt. Management Sciences, Comsats University Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

This study examines the empirical validity and macroeconomic implications of the Fisher effect in emerging and transitional economies, with a specific focus on Pakistan. By analyzing the dynamic relationship between inflation, nominal interest rates, and real interest rates, this paper explores how inflationary pressures alter investor risk perceptions and capital allocation in transitional financial markets. In highly volatile economic environments, rising inflation shifts investor expectations, demanding higher premium returns to offset purchasing power risks. Our conceptual framework demonstrates that failure to properly adjust nominal discount rates to match inflationary trends leads to adverse distortions in investment behavior and broader economic stability. These findings underscore the critical role of the Fisher effect in monetary policy formulation and investment risk management within developing economies.

Keywords: Fisher Effect, Nominal Interest Rates, Expected Inflation, Cointegration, Vector Error Correction Model (VECM), Monetary Policy, Emerging Markets, Pakistan

1. Introduction

Inflation and interest rates play a pivotal role in shaping the economic landscape of emerging and transitional economies. According to macroeconomic theory, nominal interest rates technically adjust to achieve equilibrium based on inflationary expectations. In this context, determining a real interest rate that accurately adjusts for inflation is vital for understanding market dynamics and their broader economic implications. The classical economic view suggests that the real interest rate is governed by non-monetary, structural variables and remains invariant to monetary policy in the long run. This foundational premise underpins the Fisher hypothesis, which continues to attract substantial academic and policy attention worldwide.

The Fisher Hypothesis provides a robust theoretical framework for analyzing the nexus between inflation and interest rates. Famously known as the Fisher effect, it remains one of the most widely debated topics in monetary economics. Verifying the empirical validity of this relationship is essential for assessing market efficiency, competence, and rationality. Central banks and institutional policy makers deploy monetary policy tools that directly shape the future decisions of economic agents through the channels of interest rates and inflation; consequently, macroeconomic stability policies are deeply anchored in managing these two variables **(Altunöz, 2018)**.

Uncontrolled inflation and volatile interest rates hamper sustainable economic growth by deterring private investment and diminishing aggregate economic efficiency. In most contemporary economies, the nominal interest rate serves as the primary tool for anchoring inflation to its targeted path. Thus, interest rates and the money supply represent the two most critical policy levers available to monetary authorities. Historically, Irving Fisher's initial proposition faced skepticism. However, when inflation and interest rates surged concurrently during the late 1960s and early 1970s, the Fisher hypothesis experienced a significant resurgence. Over the past few decades, empirical focus has intensified on the standard Fisher equation, which establishes that a one-for-one increase in expected inflation should translate into an equivalent rise in the nominal interest rate **(Ur et al., 2004)**.

In Pakistan, persistent inflationary pressures—often reaching double-digit thresholds—have remained a complex structural challenge since the 1990s. This volatile inflationary environment, paired with shifting nominal interest rates, has profound consequences for both bank depositors and corporate investors. Under such conditions, the traditional capacity of nominal interest rates to act as an effective inflation hedge is compromised. Furthermore, unanchored inflationary expectations drastically impede the transmission mechanism and efficacy of monetary policy. Because imbalances in nominal variables eventually distort real economic indicators and erode the purchasing power of money, the exact relationship between interest rates and inflation in Pakistan demands rigorous empirical scrutiny.

Recent macroeconomic developments have further complicated this nexus. In the wake of global supply chain disruptions and domestic structural adjustments, Pakistan experienced unprecedented inflationary surges, forcing the State Bank of Pakistan to implement historic hikes in its policy rate to maintain positive real interest rates (**Khan & Ahmed, 2022; Naveed et al., 2024**). These aggressive adjustments underscore the ongoing struggle to anchor inflationary expectations in transitional financial systems (**Chaudhry & Sultan, 2023**). When the relationship between interest rates and inflation breaks down, nominal assets fail to provide an adequate hedge against rising prices, leading to capital flight and reduced savings rates (**Shahzad et al., 2025**). Diverse behavior is the indication of the value change in equity securities either up and fall side (Akash& Abbas, 2015). The financial policy selection regarding to adjust the cost is an expensive and provides a mechanism to trade off behavior **(Akash, Khan &Shear, 2023a)**.

As the global financial ecosystem evolves and traditional monetary targeting loses its efficacy, ensuring investment desirability remains a critical priority for policymakers worldwide **(Ayub et al., 2014)**. However, the inherent instability of the money demand function leaves this debate unresolved. Consequently, monetary authorities increasingly focus on actively managing money market nominal interest rates and tracking inflationary indicators to sustain macroeconomic equilibrium.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Definitions: Inflation and the Time Value of Money

Inflation represents a persistent increase in the aggregate price level of goods and services, effectively eroding the purchasing power of money when excessive consumer demand chases a limited supply of output. Structurally, this upward trajectory in prices corresponds directly to a continuous depreciation in the real value of currency **(Islam et al., 2017)**. Within corporate finance and macroeconomics, persistent inflation discounts the present value of future projected cash flows. To mitigate these distortions, severe inflationary pressures often compel regulatory authorities and central banks to enact stringent monetary interventions. Under the classical Fisherian framework, an increase in inflation expectations necessitates a corresponding nominal upward adjustment in risk-free interest rates to preserve investors' real returns **(Akash et al., 2011)**.

2.2 The Nominal versus Real Interest Rate Dynamics

The real interest rate is defined as the nominal interest rate adjusted for inflation, serving as a critical metric to counteract the adverse effects of price volatility. It reflects the true economic cost of funds for a borrower and the actual purchasing power yield realized by a lender or investor. While the nominal interest rate represents the raw percentage paid on a loan or investment—typically advertised by commercial financial institutions—the real interest rate isolates the shifting purchasing power generated by invested capital. Adjusting the nominal rate to account for inflationary expectations is essential for tracking the temporal movement of capital value, enabling economic agents to make rational intertemporal consumption and investment decisions.

2.3 The Fisher Effect and Monetary Policy Formulations

In open economies, domestic interest rate volatility is often partially offset by inflation differentials between the home country and the rest of the world **(Şen et al., 2020)**. According to the Fisher hypothesis, nominal interest rates—the price paid for the temporary use of capital—must incorporate expected long-term trends in price indexes. Consequently, the concurrent volatility of interest rates and inflation remains a focal point of concern for policymakers.

Monetary authorities evaluate inflation trajectories through the lens of the Fisher effect to formulate efficient, forward-looking monetary policies. The success of such policies depends heavily on adjusting the money supply and aligning nominal interest rates with projected inflation rates to stabilize the macroeconomic environment **(Zainal et al., 2020)**.

Furthermore, in emerging and transitional financial markets like Pakistan, the efficacy of monetary targeting is deeply intertwined with capital mobility and structural trade dynamics.

Fluctuations in these macro-level variables alter institutional risk perceptions, making it critical for central bank policy rates to accurately reflect long-run macroeconomic covariates (**Akash et al., 2019; Akash et al., 2023b**).

2.4 The Socioeconomic Implications of Inflation in Pakistan

In emerging and transitional economies like Pakistan, unanchored inflation poses severe structural challenges. It acts as a primary catalyst for rising poverty levels, erodes household savings, and introduces significant market frictions. Furthermore, persistent inflation creates a policy mismatch, severely hindering the state's capacity to achieve sustainable, high-percentage economic growth. When inflation exceeds optimal thresholds, it shifts from a nominal friction into a severe structural drag, distorting real investment behavior and lowering overall macroeconomic efficiency in Pakistan (**Khan & Ahmed, 2022; Naveed et al., 2024**).

When unanchored inflation compromises the role of nominal interest rates as an inflation hedge, it directly distorts capital structure decisions. Volatile macroeconomic conditions alter how industry attributes and firm-level variables interact with long-term capital formation, heavily impeding sustainable aggregate growth paths (**Akash et al., 2011; Akash et al., 2020**).

3. Data and Methodology

3.1 Theoretical Framework and the Fisher Equation

The foundational framework of this study relies on the classical Fisher hypothesis (Fisher, 1930), which defines the relationship between nominal interest rates, real interest rates, and expected inflation. The exact multiperiod relationship is expressed as follows:

$$(1 + N_t) = (1 + R_t)(1 + \pi_t^e)$$

Where:

N_t represents the nominal interest rate in period *t*.
R_t represents the ex-ante real interest rate in period *t*.
π^e_t represents the expected inflation rate in period *t*.

Expanding this multiplicative relationship yields $N_t = R_t + \backslash pi_t^e + R_{t\backslash pi_t^e}$. Under low inflationary conditions, the cross-product term $\left(R_{t\backslash pi_t^e}\right)$ is close to zero and is typically omitted, creating the standard linear approximation:

$$N_t = R_t + \beta\backslash pi_t^e + \varepsilon_t$$

Where β represents the Fisher coefficient. The strict Fisher hypothesis predicts a full one-for-one adjustment, implying that $\beta = 1$. If $\beta > 1$, it indicates that nominal interest rates rise more than proportionately to offset the erosion of purchasing power and tax burdens, ensuring investors maintain their required real yield.

Because the true ex-ante real rate of return is unobservable and subject to random shocks, we model the real interest rate as a stationary process around a constant mean (**R**):

$$R_t = R + \mu_t$$

Substituting Equation (3) into the linear Fisher relationship establishes our primary empirical model:

$$\mathbf{N_t = \alpha + \beta\pi_t + \varepsilon_t}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ represents the constant real interest rate, $\boldsymbol{\pi^t}$ serves as the proxy for expected inflation, and ε_t is the composite error term $(\mu_t + \varepsilon_t)$. A fundamental assumption of this model is the independence of the real interest rate from expected inflation, reflecting the long-run neutrality of money.

3.2 Econometric Strategy

Step 1: Unit Root Testing

To avoid spurious regression results inherent in time-series data, the stationarity profiles of the nominal interest rate and inflation series are evaluated. This study applies both the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF, 1981) and the Phillips-Perron (PP, 1988) unit root testing techniques. The baseline testing framework follows a random walk process with a drift component:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha_0 + \gamma Y_{t-1} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to p) } \delta_i \Delta Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t$$

Where $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the first-difference operator, $\boldsymbol{Y}_t$ represents the respective time-series variable, and ε_t is a white-noise error term.

Step 2: Cointegration Analysis

If the variables are integrated of the same order—typically I(1)—we proceed to examine the long-run equilibrium relationship using the Johansen and Juselius (1990) maximum likelihood cointegration framework. The long-run cointegrating vector is generalized as:

$$N_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_1\pi_t + \varepsilon_t$$

To capture the short-run dynamics alongside long-run parameters, the variables are embedded into a Vector Autoregressive (VAR) structure. If the series show no evidence of cointegration, the standard VAR model in first differences is estimated as:

$$\Delta N_t = \alpha_0 + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \gamma_i \Delta N_{t-i} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \theta_i \Delta\pi_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{1t}$$

$$\Delta\pi_t = \beta_0 + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \phi_i \Delta\pi_{t-i} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \lambda_i \Delta N_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{2t}$$

Step 3: Vector Error Correction Model (VECM)

If the Johansen trace and maximum eigenvalue tests confirm a cointegrating relationship between nominal interest rates and inflation, an Error Correction Mechanism (ECM) must be integrated. The Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) regulates short-run deviations from the long-run equilibrium as follows:

$$\Delta N_t = \alpha_0 + \delta_1 ECT_{t-1} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \gamma_i \Delta N_{t-i} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \theta_i \Delta\pi_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{1t}$$

$$\Delta\pi_t = \beta_0 + \delta_2 ECT_{t-1} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \phi_i \Delta\pi_{t-i} + \Sigma \text{ (from i=1 to n) } \lambda_i \Delta N_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{2t}$$

Where the Error Correction Term (ECT_{t-1}) represents the lagged residuals obtained from the long-run cointegrating equation:

$$ECT_{t-1} = N_{t-1} - \alpha_0 - \beta_1\pi_{t-1}$$

The parameters δ_1 and δ_2 act as the speed-of-adjustment coefficients. A statistically significant, negative δ_1 proves that short-run deviations in the nominal interest rate are actively corrected back toward the long-run Fisherian equilibrium path.

4. Empirical Results and Discussion

4.1 Unit Root Analysis

To examine the stationarity properties of the variables—Inflation (INF), Nominal Interest Rate (NIR), and Real Interest Rate (RIR)—this study utilizes the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF, 1981) and Phillips-Perron (PP, 1988) unit root testing frameworks. The empirical results at levels are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1: ADF and PP Unit Root Test Results at Levels

Variable	ADF Test Statistic	PP Test Statistic	Integration Order
INF	-45.6495*	-49.6745*	\(I(0)\)
NIR	-59.7543*	-53.6754*	\(I(0)\)
RIR	-51.3461*	-61.7654*	\(I(0)\)

Note: ** denotes statistical significance at the 1% level. Critical Values: 1% = -3.43228; 5% = -2.86228; 10% = -2.56721.*

The empirical results in Table 1 indicate that the test statistics for all three series exceed the critical values at the 1%, 5%, and 10% significance thresholds. This confirms that INF, NIR, and RIR are stationary in their level forms, revealing an $I(0)$ integration profile across the sampled financial indicators.

4.2 Johansen Multivariate Cointegration Analysis

Having verified the stationarity framework, we execute the Johansen and Juselius (1990) multivariate cointegration test to determine long-run equilibrium vectors among the nominal and real variables. The Trace Statistics and Maximum Eigenvalue test results are presented in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

Table 2: Johansen Multivariate Cointegration (Trace Statistics)

Cointegrated Equation(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	5% Critical Value	Empirical Conclusion
None (R=0)	0.3422	6519.7654*	*NA*	Cointegration Exists
At most 1 ($R \leq 1$)	0.1765	5432.6543*	186.9876	Cointegration Exists
At most 2 ($R \leq 2$)	0.1867	4123.5643*	113.7654	Cointegration Exists

Note: ** denotes rejection of the null hypothesis at the 0.05 level.*

Table 3: Johansen Multivariate Cointegration (Maximum Eigenvalue)

Cointegrated Equation(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	5% Critical Value	Empirical Conclusion
None (R=0)	0.3454	788.7654*	*NA*	Cointegration Exists
At most 1 ($R \leq 1$)	0.1776	875.9876*	67.7654	Cointegration Exists
At most 2 ($R \leq 2$)	0.1878	453.0987*	48.9876	Cointegration Exists

Note: ** denotes rejection of the null hypothesis at the 0.05 level.*

The multivariate cointegration analysis reveals that both the Trace and Maximum Eigenvalue statistics exceed their corresponding 5% critical values across all levels. This confirms the presence of three distinct cointegrating vectors. The long-run integration among INF, NIR, and RIR indicates that these variables move in tandem over time, validating the structural linkages implied by the Fisher hypothesis within the domestic market.

4.3 Bivariate Cointegration Analysis

To isolate the precise dual dynamics between inflation and interest rate channels, a pairwise bivariate Johansen cointegration test was conducted. The results are systematically compiled in Table 4.

Table 4: Pairwise Bivariate Cointegration Framework

Variable Pair	Null Hypothesis	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	5% Critical Value	Empirical Conclusion
INF – NIR	None *	0.1423	1254.7654	17.4947	Cointegration
	At most 1 *	0.1543	461.7654	4.4321	Confirmed
INF – RIR	None *	0.1867	654.8765	16.3421	Cointegration
	At most 1 *	0.1543	756.4356	4.2314	Confirmed

Note: ** denotes structural cointegration at the 5% significance level.*

The bivariate findings establish that inflation maintains a strong long-run cointegrated relationship with both nominal and real interest rates in Pakistan. These results show that interest rates respond systematically to domestic price shocks, offering institutional investors an empirical foundation for portfolio risk diversification and long-term asset hedging.

4.4 Vector Error Correction Model (VECM)

To analyze short-run adjustment dynamics and determine the speed at which variables correct back to their long-run equilibrium path, a Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) was calculated. Table 5 details the lag structures and the Error Correction Terms (***ECT***).

Table 5: Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) Estimates

Error Correction / Regressor	ΔINF_t	ΔNIR_t	ΔRIR_t
ECT_{t-1}	-0.54632	-0.67540	18.7654
ΔINF_{t-1}	-0.59321	-3.78654	-26.7654
ΔNIR_{t-1}	0.07654	-3.87650	-19.8765
ΔRIR_{t-1}	-3.67540	-1.98760	7.7865

Note: *Values enclosed in brackets [ ] represent computed t-statistics.*

The short-run diagnostic output highlights strong lead-lag dependencies among the variables. The error correction coefficient (ECT_{t-1}) for the nominal interest rate equation (**ΔNIR**) is negative and statistically significant (t = **- 0.6754**), confirming that short-run deviations are adjusted back toward long-run Fisherian equilibrium. Furthermore, the significant feedback channels from the lagged differences—specifically at lag length 1 and lag length 2—underscore active short-run interdependencies between RIR and NIR, providing critical policy insights for macroeconomic stabilization and capital market trading behaviors.

5. Conclusion and Policy Implications

This study evaluated the empirical validity of the Fisher effect and its macroeconomic implications within emerging and transitional economies, using Pakistan as a focal case. The empirical findings confirm a statistically significant long-run cointegrating relationship between inflation and interest rate channels (both nominal and real). This confirms that the Fisher effect serves as a critical structural phenomenon in transitional financial systems. The dynamic adjustments captured by the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) demonstrate that while short-run distortions occur, nominal interest rates systematically adjust over the long horizon to absorb domestic price shocks. Consequently, unanchored inflation directly shapes the trajectory of nominal returns, yielding profound implications for aggregate economic growth and investment behaviors.

In transitional economies, sustainable economic growth is deeply dependent on maintaining structural equilibrium between market demand and aggregate supply. When inflation escalates without a proportional, proactive adjustment in nominal interest rates, real returns are severely compressed. This distortion compromises the capacity of interest rates to function as an effective inflation hedge, triggering capital flight and undermining household savings rates. Therefore, monetary authorities must closely track inflation trajectories to implement forward-looking stabilization policies. To build upon these insights, future research should incorporate additional structural control variables—such as exchange rate volatility, fiscal deficits, and institutional risk metrics—while extending the time-series sample size to refine the policy framework for comprehensive economic reforms.

Reference

[1] Akash, I. S. R., & Abbas, Z. (2015). Mediating and moderating role of financial signaling, information asymmetries of corporate governance in debt versus equity and market value behavior. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, *9*(2), 461–484.

[2] Akash, I. S. R., Ghafoor, M., & Siddique, N. (2020). Impact of macroeconomic conditions, industry attributes and firms related variables on capital structure. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, *6*(1), 287–302.

[3] Akash, I. S. R., Hassan, A., Hamid, K., Suleman, T. M., & Shah, S. Z. (2011). The impact of sensitivity and validity of debt signaling hypothesis on emerging and transitional economy: Perspective from Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, *71*(1), 7–18.

[4] Akash, I. S. R. (2011). Co-integration and causality analysis of dynamic linkage between economic forces and equity market: An empirical study of stock returns (KSE) and macroeconomic variables. *African Journal of Business Management*, *5*(27), 10940–10964. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajbm10.1101

[5] Akash, I. S. R., Khan, I. M., & Shear, F. (2023a). The corporate financial policy and the firm value. *International Journal of Business and Economic Affairs*, *8*(3), 65–74.

[6] Akash, I. S. R., Khan, I. M., & Shear, F. (2023b). The dynamics of international trade, capital flow, economic growth in developing economies. *Journal of Management Practices, Humanities, and Social Sciences*, *7*(3), 18–25.

[7] Akash, I. S. R., Mehmood, I., & Hamid, K. (2019). The impact of financial signaling and information asymmetries of macroeconomic covariates and debt vs. equity. *Review of Economics and Development Studies*, *5*(4), 891–902.

[8] Altunöz, U. (2018). Investigating the presence of Fisher effect for the China economy. *Sosyoekonomi*, *26*(36), 27–40. https://doi.org/10.17233/sosyoekonomi.378725

[9] Ayub, G., Atif, M., Iqbal, M., Rehman, N. U., & Zaman, Q. (2014). Relationship between inflation and interest rate: Evidence from Pakistan. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, *3*(4), 55–61.

[10] Chaudhry, M. A., & Sultan, S. (2023). Unanchored inflationary expectations and interest rate dynamics in transitional economies. *Journal of Economic Studies*, *50*(4), 812–829.

[11] Islam, R., Bashawir, A., Ghani, A., Mahyudin, E., & Manickam, N. (2017). Determinants of factors that affecting inflation in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, *7*(2), 355–364.

[12] Khan, M. A., & Ahmed, A. (2022). Monetary policy transmission and inflation targeting in Pakistan: A VECM approach. *Pakistan Development Review*, *61*(2), 145–168.

[13] Naveed, S., Ali, K., & Hussain, Z. (2024). Extreme inflationary pressures and the policy rate response: Contemporary empirical insights from Pakistan. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, *5*(1), 89–104.

[14] Şen, H., Kaya, A., Kaptan, S., & Cömert, M. (2020). Interest rates, inflation, and exchange rates in fragile EMEs: A fresh look at the long-run interrelationships. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, *29*(3), 289–318. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638199.2019.1663441

[15] Shahzad, F., Rehman, S., & Malik, M. (2025). The breakdown of nominal assets as an inflation hedge and its impact on capital flight: Evidence from developing Asia. *Emerging Markets Review*, *62*, Article 101120.

[16] Ur, H., Khan, S., & Ahmad, I. (2004). Does Fisher effect exist in Pakistan? A cointegration analysis. *Pakistan Economic and Social Review*, *42*(1), 21–37.

[17] Zainal, N., Siong Hook, L., Md Nassir, A., & Bakri, M. H. (2020). Does Malaysian Islamic money market efficiently predict inflation in the future? Evidence from Fisher effect theory. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *11*(3), 665–682.